

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,046	12/04/2003	John Franzini	6579-147	8955	
7590 03/01/2005			EXAMINER		
Richard R Michaud			WATTS, DOUGLAS D		
The Michaud-I	Duffy Group LLP				
306 Industrial	Park Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 206		3724			
Middletown, CT 06457			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/728,04	6	FRANZINI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Douglas D		3724				
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communicate eply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA's of time may be available under the provisions of 37 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the second by the Office later than three months after the lent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>16 December 2</u> 0	<u>004</u> .		•			
2a)⊠ Thi								
3)□ Sin	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition (of Claims							
4)⊠ Cla								
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ Cla	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-13,16-24,27,28 and 31 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 14,15,25,26,29 and 30 is/are objected to. 							
· <u> </u>								
8)∭ Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application	Papers							
9)[] The	specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	·					
10)□ The	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Арр	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ Ack a)□ A 1.□ 2.□	Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	n received.					
3.	_		• •		Stage			
	application from the International	· ·						
* See :	the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)								
_	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of I	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite	∩_152\			
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC (s)/Mail Date	//>B/U8)	6) Other:	aton Application (PT)	J-102J			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, 20-24, 28, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwak. Note that Kwak states that his dispensers are changeable in column 1 lines 44-48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 16, 18-19, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwak in view of DeMars. The rational from the last Office action is incorporated herein by reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-15, 25-26, 29-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,046 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

Applicant has appropriately amended claim 1 so the rejection based on formal matters has been dropped. Applicant's allegation that Kwak does not show the material of claims 17 and 28 appears to be in error. Specifically Kwak mentions the changeability of the dispensers in column 1 lines 44-48. Applicant suggests that Kwak and DeMars do not show all the claimed limitations of claim 1. He however fails to mention specifically which limitation or limitations are not shown. It is felt that the claims are met as previously stated.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Watts whose telephone number is (571) 272-4515. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30AM – 4:00PM.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DW

Douglas D Watts
Primary Examiner

2/24/04